1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney MICHAEL D. ANDERSON Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-0224 DAD	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	GARY STEPHEN MAYNARD, DATE: October 6, 2022		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Dale A. Drozd	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order signed by Judge Nunley, this matter was set for status on October 6,		
21	2022. This case was subsequently reassigned to Judge Drozd and there is no date currently set on		
22	calendar.		
23	2. By this stipulation, defendant now	moves to continue the status conference until	
24	November 29, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between October 6, 2022, and November 29,		
25	2022, under Local Code T4.		
26	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:	
27	a) Discovery associated with	this case includes at least 12061 pages of reports,	
28	photographs, and other documents. This number of discovery pages also includes pages that ar		

17

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27 28

placeholders for electronic data produced in native formats, such as video recordings. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying. Current defense counsel, Ms. Labaree, and defense experts have been reviewing the voluminous discovery, which was provided in a digital format. The government and defense counsel also previously arranged for an in-person inspection of the defendant's seized vehicle by a defense investigator, and the government has made available for inspection all other physical items seized during the investigation. Defense counsel has asked the government clarifying questions about the discovery production data files and the government has provided answers to assist the defense team's ongoing review.

- b) Counsel for defendant, who was on out of the office on leave for several months earlier this year and returned to the case this summer, desires additional time to review the voluminous discovery, including reviewing reports, viewing videos and photographs, and examining other electronic data and documents. In addition, counsel for the defendant desires additional time to continue to conduct an independent defense investigation of the case, including review of relevant materials by defense experts.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 6, 2022 to November 29, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\(\sqrt{3161(h)(7)(A)}, B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:21-cr-00224-DAD Document 55 Filed 09/30/22 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a tria	
3	must commence.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5		
6		
7	Dated: September 29, 2022	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
8		
9		/s/ MICHAEL D. ANDERSON MICHAEL D. ANDERSON
10		Assistant United States Attorney
11		
12	Dated: September 29, 2022	/s/ HANNAH LABAREE HANNAH LABAREE
13		Counsel for Defendant GARY STEPHEN MAYNARD
14		GIACI SIBIIDI IMITI MAD
15		
16 ORDER		ORDER
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18		$\partial a a \partial a$
19	Dated: September 29, 2022	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		